Statement of:

Complainant, P.O./Field Training Officer Michael KASPER, regarding the following allegations:

- 1. The (Unknown) accused during the course of KASPER'S employment with the Chicago Police Department subjected the complainant to harassment.
- 2. The (Unknown) accused during the course of KASPER'S employment with the Chicago Police Department subjected the complainant to demotion.
- 3. The (Unknown) accused during the course of KASPER'S employment with the Chicago Police Department subjected the complainant to denial of promotional opportunities.
- 4. The (Unknown) accused during the course of KASPER'S employment with the Chicago Police Department did not provide the complainant with a requested accommodation.
- 5. The (Unknown) accused during the course of KASPER'S employment with the Chicago Police Department has discriminated against the complainant based on his disability in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Statement taken at:

Bureau of Internal Affairs, 3510 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago IL

60653

Questioned by:

SGT C. PETTIS #1184, Unit 121

Typed by:

SGT C. PETTIS #1184, Unit 121

Date and Time:

Monday 19 March 2012, 0900 hours.

Representative/

Attorney Present:

Attorney Sara Panno-Walsh

2 3 4

1

5 6 7

7 8 9

10

PETTIS:

Relative to Article 6 Section 6.1D under the Agreement between the City of Chicago and the Fraternal Order of Police Chicago Lodge No.7, I will only question you and entertain allegations dating back to 12 February 2007.

This interview is a continuation from the 15 March 2012

Initials /

interview involving P.O. Michael Kasper.

19 March 2012 C.R. # 1052144

Page 2 of 7

1	PETTIS:	You are aware that there are no charges or allegations against you	
2		and that you are being questioned as a Complainant?	

KASPER: Yes.

3 4

5 PETTIS: Are you accompanied by counsel or representative of your

6 choosing, and if so, identify him/her for the record?

7 KASPER: Attorney Sara Panno-Walsh

8 9

**PETTIS:** Do you understand that this is an official Police Department report

10 and that any deviation from the truth could result in charges being

placed against you?

12 KASPER: Yes.

13

16

17

11

14 PETTIS: Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department's Rules and Regulations 15

prohibits making a false report, written or oral. You may be

separated from the Chicago Police Department if you make a false

report. Do you understand this?

18 KASPER: Yes.

19

20 PETTIS: Are you ready to continue your statement at this time?

KASPER: Yes.

21 22

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

23 PETTIS: What places have you been assigned / detailed to have not allowed

24 for the conditions that you stated by your doctor's request?

25 Approximately 2008, I was interviewed and was accepted for a KASPER:

> transfer to youth investigations, I interviewed with Commander Hargesheimer, based on my performance evaluations, and he said everything looked fine, he said he would meet with Commander Lewin to arrange for my transfer. I was informed by Commander that Dep. Supt. Theodore O'Keefe, informed Commander Lewin that he would only allow me to transfer if I would surrender my D2 status. I took that as a personal harassment due to the fact that there were many other officers working outside their title codes throughout the Dept. I spoke to Sgt. Louis Daray and he gave me

the offer of the limited duty position in youth investigations.

35 36

39

40

41

42

37 PETTIS: Can you give me some examples that you personally know of

38 where department members were working outside their title codes?

I'm going to submit three seniority rosters by Unit, Title, or Gender

obtained from the Chicago Police Departments data warehouse, late 2010 early 2011 and they indicated numerous officers of various title codes working outsider their respective title codes. I

will submit three documents totaling 65 pages.

43 44 45

**PETTIS:** How were you informed by Commander Hargesheimer of Dep.

46 Supt. O'Keefe's decision?

Initials\_\_\_\_

KASPER:

19 March 2012 C.R. # 1052144

	Page 3 of 7	
1	KASPER:	By Commander Lewin through conversation, I don't have the exact
2		date. There were numerous e mails between Dep. Supt. O'Keefe,
3		Commander Lodding, who was Commander of the training
4		academy at the time, Commander Lewin, Commander
5		Hargesheimer, and Director Ladner.
6		
7	PETTIS:	Do you personally see any of the e mails you refer to?

8 KASPER: No.

9

10 **PETTIS:** As a Field Training Officer, do you have to be in a full duty status? 11 KASPER: No. I was offered a limited duty status position in the training

academy.

12 13

14 **PETTIS:** Are you currently on limited duty status.

15 KASPER: No. I was denied.

16

17 PETTIS: Are you able to meet the criteria for limited duty status?

18 KASPER: I believe so and so does my doctor.

19

20 PETTIS: When were you denied limited duty status?

21 KASPER: I was informed via an e mail; on 01 February 2012 I received an e

22 mail from P.O. Bankson, medical services section, through 23 Director Ladner that my limited duty status was denied.

24

29

30

31

32

25 PETTIS: Referring back to Dep. Supt. O'Keefe asking you to surrender your

26 D2 status, were there any other FTO's working outside their title 27

codes?

28 KASPER: Yes, I believe there were several listed on the seniority roster from

> the data warehouse dated 12 January 2011, Richard Aguilar, he is detailed to unit 541 as an FTO, Robert Mangan, Unit 701, myself, I was detailed to unit 125 for several years before I was asked to surrender my FTO status. There are several more available on the

seniority roster.

33 34

> 35 **PETTIS:** What was your duty status when you were assigned to unit 125?

36 KASPER: Limited duty.

37

41

42

43

44 45

38 **PETTIS:** How do you believe Dept. Supt. O'Keefe's asking you to surrender

39 your D2 status was a form of harassment?

40 KASPER: As we just listed there were various other officers with D2 status

> working outside their title codes. Then I refused to voluntarily give up my FTO status and I belive that it was direct retaliation that I was asked to give up my FTO status, on, 12 January 2011 I was informed that an FTO review board was being convened to request a demotion in rank. This statement can be corroborated

46 through e mails from O'Keefe, Ladner, and Commander Lodding.



19 March 2012 C.R. # 1052144

	Page 4 of 7	
1 2 3		I submitted documentation during my last interview about the FTO board being convened to ask for my demotion. I was then demoted and a couple weeks later I was informed by Commander Lewin
4 5		that my detail was being cancelled. I was also informed that my
6		D2 status was removed on 20 January 2011. I was then transferred to the 008 <sup>th</sup> district, second watch, a few days later I was
7		transferred to the first watch against my will by Commander
8 9		Kupczyk. I then had a meeting with him and explained to him how
10		that would adversely affect the management of my diabetes, he said he couldn't do any thing about it, but would try to transfer me
11		back to unit 376, which he did.
12	~~~	
13 14 15	PETTIS:	When you originally requested the transfer by Commander Hargesheimer, was it understood that that move was based on management's decision?
16 17	KASPER:	Yes.
18	PETTIS:	Did you submit a par form for the transfer?
19 20	KASPER:	No. The transfer would have been a phone transfer.
21 22	PETTIS:	Are any of the officers to which you referred in the exact same situation that you are in regarding job description and duty status?
23 24	KASPER:	Yes.
25	PETTIS:	Have you read the job description for Field Training Officer?
26 27	KASPER:	Yes, not recently but I have.
28	PETTIS:	Officer Kasper, how were you denied a promotion?
29 30 31 32	KASPER:	By being in a limited duty status, per any advancement opportunity or promotion, the department has clearly stated that you must be full duty to apply.
33	PETTIS:	How was that denial of a promotion based on your disability?
34 35	KASPER:	Because due to my type 1 diabetes I was in a limited duty status.
36	PETTIS:	How do you feel this is a form of discrimination?
37 38 39	KASPER:	Quiesimply, it's stated under the ADA that I can not be denied a promotion due to my disability.
40 41	PETTIS:	Have you mentioned the harassment by Dep. Supt. O'Keefe to any other department member?
42 43 44	KASPER:	Sure, my immediate supervisor, Sgt Alex Soto, Unit 125. I felt he concurred.
45 46	PETTIS:	Have you initiated any complaints in the past regarding the harassment?

Initials \_\_\_\_\_

19 March 2012 C.R. # 1052144

	Special Investigations Section Page 5 of 7		C.R. # 1052144	
1 2 3	KASPER:	No. But I have filed a grieva have a copy of.	nce for the FTO demotion which you	
4 5 6	PETTIS:	Why didn't you initiate any congrievance filing regarding the O'Keefe?	omplaints in the past other than the harassment from Dep. Supt.	
7 8 9 10 11	KASPER:	I believe the grievance address stating my position in 2004 to	gards to my FTO demotion, 14	
12 13	PETTIS:	Why are you coming forward allegations?	now with the aforementioned	
14 15 16	KASPER:	Because I was placed on forc doctors recommendations.	ed medical against my will and my	
17 18 19 20 21	PETTIS: KASPER:	An e mail from Barbara Hem services, who was told that m denied. No reason given. I b	on what you call forced medical? merling, the director medical y request for limited duty status was elieve I submitted those e mails. Petor of Human Pesources.	
22 23	PETTIS:	Was yourwork effected by the O'Keefe?		
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	KASPER:	undue stress, made my diabet blood sugar would vary great Being placed on first watch n difficult in that, it was very ha	e and my medical condition. Caused es much harder to handle, in that my ly with highs and low glucose levels. nade managing my diabetes very ard to eat proper meal throughout the operly and sleep properly caused levels.	
32 33	PETTIS:	Are you saying that you can oworking a certain shift?	only manage your diabetes while	
34 35 36 37 38	KASPER:	managed living a more norma	conclusion that it can be much better all lifestyle being able to sleep during when restaurants are open when I can	
39 40 41	PETTIS: KASPER:	When were you assigned to w Late January 2011, early Febr	•	

44 45

42

43

46

How long were you assigned to midnights?

Until I was placed on forced medical, early February 2012,

approximately a year.

Initials\_

PETTIS:

KASPER:

19 March 2012 C.R. # 1052144

Page 6 of 7

1	PETTIS:	Have you mentioned the demotion to any other department
2		member?

3 KASPER: Sure, many coworker, the union, Commander Lewin, and Sgt.

Soto.

4 5

6 PETTIS: How did the demotion make you feel?

7 KASPER: Angry, upset, created a big financial burden on me because I was

being singled out.

8 9

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

10 PETTIS: Explained what you mean by singled out?

11 KASPER: Well as I stated earlier, there are other officers who work outside

their respective title codes who were not forced into demotion. Their was a female black FTO assigned to 376 for years and was able to retire with her FTO status and this can be substantiated from previous A&A's and unit rosters for 376. Approximately 3 days prior to being notified that my limited duty status was going to be denied, I was given my 4<sup>th</sup> quarter performance evaluation on 27 January 2012, my performance evaluation exceeds expectations in all five evaluation categories, which was just 3 days prior to the department placing me on forced medical, this document was already submitted during previous interview. I believe denial of my limited duty status was in retaliation of filing my grievance for

my limited duty status was in retaliation of filing my grievance for FTO demotions. I also feel my being denied a limited duty assignment is further retaliation because it has been granted to me in previous years. Other officers in my similar situation, limited

duty officers were granted limited duty assignments while I was denied. Further other officers have been reinstated from the forced medical back to their limited duty assignments. I belive this is direct retaliation and harassment for filing an EEOC complaint against the police department. The FOP and medical services

section have a record of the officers I referred to in this statement.

32 33

PETTIS: Is there any other incidents of a demotion other than the FTO

34 incident?

35 KASPER: No.

36

37 PETTIS: Have you made any attempts to return to limited duty status?

38 KASPER: No.

39

40 PETTIS: Why not?

41 KASPER: I filed a grievance and I'm submitting to you a copy of said

grievance #

42 43

44 PETTIS: Is there anything else that you have not mentioned regarding

allegations 1, 2, and 3 that you would like to mention at this time?

19 March 2012 C.R. # 1052144

	Page 7 of 7		
1		Allegations 4 and 5 will be addressed by another area of the Police	
2		Department.	
2 3	KASPER:	Nothing that I can recall at this time.	
4		Trowning that I can room at time time.	
5	PETTIS:	After reading this statement consisting of 7 pages and finding it to	
6	1211101	contain accurately the questions asked and the responses that you	
7			
	IZACDED.	have given, will you sign it?	
8	KASPER:	Yes	
9	**************		
10	PETTIS:	You are being informed that this is an ongoing investigation and	
11		that you are not to discuss your statement or reveal your statement	
12		with anyone other than your attorney or union representative, do	
13		you understand?	
14	KASPER:	Yes	
15			
16			
17	This statement is concluded at 1147 hours.		
18			
19			
20			
20			
22		Muly ( wife	
23		P.O. Michael KASPER # 4240, Unit 376	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30	· .		
31			
32	1 / J		
33 /	/ //-		
34 /		Jell .	
35	SGT Christopher RE	TTPS #1184	
36	Special Investigations Section		
37	Bureau of Internal Affairs		
38	Durcau of Internal Al	italis	
39			
40			

41